

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/680,737	10/07/2003	Kim Sutton-Rainey	RAIN-1-1005	5294	
25315 7	590 02/17/2005		EXAMINER		
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC			GEHMAN, BRYON P		
701 FIFTH AV	'ENUE				
SUITE 4800	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SEATTLE, W	SEATTLE, WA 98104			3728	
				_	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Course	10/680,737	SUTTON-RAINEY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bryon P. Gehman	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	,					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 O	ctober 2004.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	= ' ' '					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/10/04</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3728

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: I) Figures 1-6 and 15-17; II) Figure 7; III) Figure 8; IV) Figures (A-10; V) Figures 11-12; VI) Figure 13; and VII) Figure 14.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no allowable claim is held generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3728

2. During a telephone conversation with Mark Byrne on February 1, 2005, a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of species I, claims 1-20. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. No claims at this time have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Page 3

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 5, "the first set of crease lines" is inconsistent with previous terminology or lacks antecedent basis. In line 8, "the second set of crease lines" and "the first plurality of tabs" and line 9, "the first plurality of slots" each are inconsistent with previous terminology or lack antecedent basis. See also claim 10 for the same indefiniteness.

In claim 3, the claim is directed to only "The apertures of Claim 2", when the claim is not limited to apertures alone. Also the scope of the term "aperture" is indefinite, as "each aperture of the plurality of apertures is a set of apertures" is unclear how a single "aperture" can comprise "a set of apertures". See also claim 12.

In claim 4, line 1, "Use" is capitalized improperly.

Art Unit: 3728

In claim 5, the claim is directed to only "The flexible material of Claim 4", when the claim is not limited to the flexible material alone.

In claims 7 and 8, line 2 of each, "has" is ungrammatical.

In claim 18, line 15, "the central chamber" lacks antecedent basis.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 14-15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Maroszek (5,052,552). Disclosed is a multi-use carrier comprising a single die-cut sheet (12) made of a flexible material having a first plurality of crease lines (82-88), a second plurality of crease lines (58-64 and 106-108), a handle cutout (136 and 138), a plurality of slots (46, 48), a plurality of tabs (112, 114) and a plurality of vessel apertures (116-122), whereby a first pivoting action (Figure 5) substantially erects the single die-cut sheet to an expanded carrier having the handle cutout define a handle (40) and the plurality of vessel apertures disposed along the perimeter of the carrier and a second pivoting action (From Figure 2 to Figure 1) engages the plurality of tabs (112, 114) with the plurality of slots (46, 48) to form a central chamber spanned by the handle and surrounded by the plurality of vessel apertures.

As to claims 2-3 and 11-12, disclosed are substantially circular apertures.

Art Unit: 3728

Page 5

As to claims 6-9, 14-15 and 20, the described surfaces of Maroszek are inherently capable of receiving messages and images if so provided.

As to claim 18, Figure 5 indicates a three layer folding.

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 1-3, 6-12, 14-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maroszek. To any degree the claimed carrier and sheet may be argued to not be exactly met by the carrier and sheet of Maroszek as described, those differences are held to be inherent or fall within the realm of common knowledge in the art.

As to claims 2-3 and 11-12, the various aperture shapes recited are disclosed as obvious variants of one another and such is also maintained by the examiner.

As to claims 6-9, 14-15 and 20, the described surfaces of Maroszek are inherently capable of receiving messages and images if so provided.

As to claims 16 and 17, the particular dimensioning of the sheet and carrier is not seen to provide any new and unexpected result.

Art Unit: 3728

Page 6

9. Claims 4-9, 13-15 and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Maroszek in view of Picciolo (5,797,486). As to claims 4-5, 13 and 19, Picciolo discloses a carrier and sheet made from paper material, such as cardboard. To modify the carrier and sheet of Maroszek employing paper material such as cardboard would have been an obvious substitution of materials already well known in the field, as demonstrated by Picciolo. The various particular materials recited are disclosed as obvious variants of one another and such is also maintained by the examiner.

As to claims 6-9, 14-15 and 20, Picciolo discloses providing the handle with various indicia (printed messages and indicia) to provide information. The various recited indicia locations are disclosed as obvious variants of one another and such is also maintained by the examiner.

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shown are carriers similar to applicants'.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 5:30am to 6:00pm.

Buy P. Rel

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-4555.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

BPG